

# PATENT SPECIFICATION (11)

1 532 922

1 532 922

- (21) Application No. 21099/77 (22) Filed 19 May 1977 (19)  
 (31) Convention Application No. 791 425 (32) Filed 29 April 1977 in  
 (33) United States of America (US)  
 (44) Complete Specification published 22 Nov. 1978  
 (51) INT. CL.<sup>2</sup> C09K 7/00  
 (52) Index at acceptance  
 E1F 31K



## (54) METHOD OF REDUCING TORQUE AND DRAG IN A WELLBORE

(72) I, ANITA ADREON DODD, a Citizen of the United States of America, of 445 The Main Bldg., Houston, State of Texas, 77002, United States of America, do hereby declare the invention, for which I pray that a patent may be granted to me, and the method by which it is to be performed, to be particularly described in and by the following statement:—

10 In drilling oil and gas wells and the like by the rotary drilling method, a string of drill pipe having a drill bit mounted on the lower end thereof is rotated to cause the cutting elements or "teeth" on the bit to  
 15 drill the hole. Drilling fluid is circulated through the drill pipe emerging through openings in the drill bit returning to the surface in the annular space between the drill pipe and the walls of the borehole. Such circulation is substantially continuous while  
 20 drilling, being interrupted by essential operations, such as adding an additional section of drill pipe at the top of the drill string or when the entire string of drill pipe is  
 25 pulled from the wellbore to replace a worn-out drill bit.

In addition to removing drill cuttings from the hole the drilling fluid performs many functions vital to a successful drilling operation. These functions have been discussed by Rodgers ("Composition and Properties of Oil Well Drilling Fluids," Water F. Rodgers, pps. 10—18, Gulf Publishing Company, Houston, Texas, 1963).

35 In the drilling of wells sand contamination of drill fluid results from the drilling of sandy shales and sandstones. Sand is a highly undesirable mechanical contaminant because sand is considerably harder than  
 40 most steels and its abrasive qualities cause rapid and excessive wear of pipe elbows and reciprocating and centrifugal pumps. Higher sand contents in drilling fluids increase drill pipe friction resulting in increasing rotating torque and drag. The rapid  
 45 settling of sand may even stick drill pipe in the hole or cause core barrels to fail to operate. Sand may also bridge outside the casing and prevent a satisfactory cementing  
 50 operation. For these reasons, drilling oper-

ators make every reasonable effort to keep sand out of drilling fluids; sand contents of 2 or percent can be tolerated but if the percentage rises, steps must be taken to reduce the sand content.

Crooked holes, including doglegs, corkscrews, and boreholes deviating from the vertical are a primary cause of excessive torque and drag.

It is important to recognize that ordinary crooked holes can be significantly minimized by good drilling engineering practice. However, current economic and environmental considerations dictate that an increasing number of wells, both on land and offshore, be deliberately deviated. In such deviated holes where the borehole is at an angle from the vertical, the drill string must rest against the side of the wellbore. This lateral force significantly increases normal rotating torque and drag over that of vertical drilling, notwithstanding whether the drilling fluid is oil base or water base. This frictional effect becomes very important in current offshore drilling where 20 to 22 wells are drilled from a single platform and the deviation of the well bore from vertical is sixty degrees or more.

The rate at which the hole can be made depends in part upon the rate of rotation of the drill pipe and upon the "weight on bottom" or force with which the drill bit acts on the bottom of the hole. This force is controlled by addition of drill collars which are pipes of larger diameter and greater mass than drill pipe. It is, therefore, very desirable to minimize friction upon the drill string and maximize horsepower at the bit.

Clearly, high rotational friction or high drag friction in removing a string of drill pipe miles in length for the purpose of periodically changing bits can severely limit the ability and efficiency of a given derrick to drill deep wells and also increases the cost of drilling.

In present day offshore drilling there are areas where basic rig overhead costs are \$50,000 or more per day. It is, therefore, economically desirable to drill as rapidly

55

60

65

70

75

80

85

90

95

100

as possible with minimum equipment and power.

Current drilling fluid technology pre-  
dictated upon maintaining low clay solids in  
aqueous drilling muds also contributes to  
increasing rotating torque and pipe drag.  
Traditional drilling muds contained an  
appreciable component of hydrated bento-  
nite which acted as a borehole lubricant.  
With the advent of solids control equip-  
ment and the deliberate reduction in bento-  
nite content for the purpose of increasing  
penetration rate and minimizing formation  
damage, this lubricating effect of bentonite  
has been greatly reduced.

Even with traditional bentonitic drilling  
fluids and techniques, the friction of running  
pipe into and out of the hole, the increases  
in torque and power to rotate the drill pipe,  
the wear and stress on drill pipe and danger  
of twist offs of the drill pipe has caused  
numerous drilling fluid lubricants to be  
investigated.

The prior art shows such lubricant drilling  
additives to be composed of saturated or un-  
saturated fatty acids, mixtures of fatty acids  
and resin acids, naturally occurring tri-  
glycerides, stearates of aluminum and other  
metals, fatty amides, sulfurized vegetable oils,  
sulfated fatty acids and fatty alcohols and  
mixtures thereof and their solutions or emul-  
sions in water or primary alcohols of 12 to  
15 carbon atoms.

In general all sorts of soft solids including  
graphite, blown asphalts, gilconite, soaps,  
plastics (such as polyethylene or teflon par-  
ticle dispersions), have been proposed as  
drilling fluid lubricants. A wide variety of  
such substances that have a known perform-  
ance history as boundary or hydrodynamic  
lubricants in industry have been introduced  
as drilling fluid lubricant additives, as for  
example in United States Patents 2,773,030;  
2,773,031; 3,014,862; 3,027,324; 3,047,493;  
3,047,494; 3,048,538; 3,214,374; 3,242,160;  
3,275,551; 3,340,188; 3,372,112; 3,337,276;  
and 3,761,410.

Ground walnut hulls are commonly used  
in drilling fluids for lost circulation control.  
It is, however, a matter of common know-  
ledge that when angular walnut hull frag-  
ments are introduced into a circulating drill-  
ing fluid that some reduction in rotating  
torque occurs and that sticking tendencies  
of the drill pipe are reduced. It is further  
known that this lubricating effect decreases  
with time, presumably because of chemical  
disintegration of the nut hulls in the circulat-  
ing drilling fluid. It has been further shown  
in the prior art, specifically U.S. Patent  
2,943,679, Table V, that the lubricity of  
walnut shells is maximum in the 4 to 10  
mesh size range and diminishes rapidly in  
sizes below 80 mesh.

Unfortunately, the use of ground walnut

hulls necessitates the by-passing of the mud  
screens resulting in an undesirable build-up  
of clay solids in the drilling fluid. Further-  
more, the incorporation of walnut hulls in  
the drilling fluid results in an increase in  
pump pressure, sometimes to such an extent  
that formations may be fractured thus in-  
ducing lost circulation. Both high clay  
solids and walnut hulls act to decrease  
penetration rate.

The 1977-78 *Guide to Drilling, Work-  
over and Completion Fluids*, Gulf Publish-  
ing Company, Houston, Texas, lists some  
sixty-two proprietary drilling fluid lubricant  
additives offered by various drilling fluid  
additive suppliers. All of these compounds,  
composed of the above cited oils and soft  
solids lubricating materials, attest to the  
interest in, and need for, practical and effec-  
tive means of reducing drag and rotating  
torque in the rotary drilling of wells.

The design and formulation of lubricant  
additives is made difficult by the fact that  
there are no standard methods of evaluating  
the effectiveness of such additives by  
laboratory tests.

Such testing was recently studied by a task  
group of the Committee on Standardization  
of Drilling Fluid Materials of the American  
Petroleum Institute. The variables involved,  
it was found, made such tests of little value  
in predicting the actual field performance of  
a given additive. Thus, despite of the  
obvious desirability of meaningful testing of  
lubricant additives, the task group was dis-  
banded.

Regardless of the effectiveness of a drill-  
ing fluid lubricant in reducing friction in a  
laboratory friction test or in the field, the  
additive can be useful only if it meets  
criteria of practicality. It must not impair  
necessary drilling fluid properties of chemical  
or physical nature. It is recognized in the  
prior art that a lubricant may have limita-  
tions which seriously effect its usefulness.  
For example, a lubricant additive must have  
tolerance to the variation in pH and electro-  
lytes normally encountered while drilling.  
Some additives curdle and ball up in the  
presence of calcium and are removed on  
the shale shaker screens. Other additives  
will cause oil wetting of barite in water base  
fluids or water wetting of barite in oil base  
fluids. In either case, the barite may objec-  
tionably settle out in low weight fluids or  
cause objectionably high viscosities in high  
weight fluids. Some additives cause foam-  
ing with the result that the mud becomes  
gas cut and unpumpable in the reciprocating  
mud pumps. Other additives resist wetting  
out and dispersion in the drilling fluid and  
float on the mud pits or are removed and  
discarded by the screens. Some additives  
fluoresce in ultraviolet light and thus inter-  
fere with certain well logging operations.

Some of the proposed additives are effective only in uneconomical concentrations. Other additives may be potentially toxic, carcinogenic or environmentally undesirable.

5 Contrary to expectations based upon prior art considerations, I have found that when hard, non-softening minute solid glass spheres (beads) are introduced into a drilling fluid that a surprising reduction in rotating torque and drag occurs in rotary drilling of oil and gas wells. Considering the *a priori* unpredictable properties of drilling fluid additives it would not be anticipated that such glass beads would in fact function as a friction reducer in drilling fluids.

10 The glass beads used in my invention are substantially spherical, devoid of gas inclusions, composed of chemically resistant lime-silica glass having a hardness of 5.5 on the Moh's scale and a particle size of 88 to 44 microns (through 170 mesh on 325 mesh screens). If such beads are added to a circulating drilling fluid through the chemical hopper or otherwise in amounts ranging from 2 pounds to 8 pounds per barrel of oil base or water base drilling fluid, a significant reduction in rotating torque and drag results.

15 The friction reducing effect of the glass beads may be utilized in fresh water, calcium inhibited, salt or sea water aqueous drilling fluid at all suitable pH ranges. These glass beads have a softening point of 1,346°F. (730°C) and a melting point of 1,600°F. (871°C). Inasmuch as drilling fluids infrequently attain a temperature of 500°F. (260°C), no melting or softening of the glass beads would be expected in drilling operations.

20 The hardness of the glass beads is considerably less than drilling rig steels (530 knoop for the beads, 800 to 1,670 knoop for the steel). The degree of hardness of the beads is such that it is not abrasive to drilling equipment but it is distinctly not a soft solid, such as are conventionally used as lubricants. Materials with a hardness less than 3.0 tend to abrade too rapidly in a circulating drilling fluid. The established industrial use of the subject glass beads in plastic injection molding compositions in 40% loadings without causing extrusion die erosion is a further indication of the non-abrasive nature of the glass beads used in my invention.

25 The solid nature of the subject beads and absence of gas inclusions contributes to the resistance of the beads to comminution by the shear forces present in the drilling operation.

30 The nature of the glass beads facilitates their incorporation into drilling fluids resulting in a non-flocculant dispersion.

35 The particle size distribution of the subject beads (170—325 mesh) enables them to

be recirculated without removal by 80 mesh mud screens. Minus 200 mesh beads may be used if desired.

Neither laboratory or field use has found the incorporation of the non-toxic subject glass beads to cause any adverse side effects on drilling fluid properties.

It is not fully understood how the method of this invention accomplishes friction reducing results by materials so strikingly different from the prior art of drilling fluid lubricant additives. However, although it is not intended that this invention be bound by any speculations or theoretical explanations, certain characteristics relevant to the physical-chemical properties of glass beads should be noted.

The glass beads used in my invention do not qualify as surface active agents and do not lower surface or interfacial tensions of liquids or act as wetting agents. Neither are they classifiable as soft solids capable of reducing friction by full fluid flow or hydrostatic mechanisms. It may be, nevertheless, that the micro glass beads function as a mechanical analog of chemical E.P. lubricants (Browning, W.C., in "Composition and Properties of Oil Well Drilling Fluids," Walter F. Rodgers, pps. 627—630, Gulf Publishing Company, Houston, Texas, 1963).

The unexpected lubricating effect of glass microspheres in drilling fluids, however, may be a surface area related effect in which the "ice" structure of bound water at the glass surface produces a result somewhat similar to metal stearates in ordinary grease compositions ("Water — A comprehensive Treatise," Felix Frank, Ed. Vol. 5, Plenum Press, New York, 1975; Williams, P.S., Jour. Applied Chemistry (London) 3, 120 (1953); Sweeny, K.H. and Geckler, R.D., Jour. Applied Phys. 25, 1135 (1954).

#### MATERIAL

The preferred glass beads used in my invention are Ballontoni Impact Beads manufactured under U.S. Patents 2,334,578; 2,619,776; 2,945,326; and 2,947,115.

To function as a practical and useful additive to reduce rotating torque and pipe drag in rotary drilling, it is essential that the solid glass beads be as nearly 100% spherical as possible. Roundness is controlled during manufacture according to ASTM 1155-53.

The preferred size range of the glass beads used in my invention is 170 to 325 U.S. Standard Sieve. Size is determined according to Mil Spc G-9954 A. The maximum allowable broken or angular particles are 3% by count. The beads are solid without gas inclusions. The amount of accidental gas inclusion is microscopically determined and limited during manufacture according to Mil G-9954 using a 1.50 refractive index



fluid. The true density of the beads is maintained within 2.45—2.55 gm/cc<sup>3</sup>, and the hardness is 5.5 on the Moh's scale (530 knoop).

5 To assure low chemical reactivity of the silica lime glass used in bead manufacture, the silica content is maintained above 67% as determined by ASTM C-169-57T.

10 The chemical durability of the subject glass beads has been reported by Keppel and Walker (*Ind. Eng. Chem. Product Research*, 1, 132 (1962)).

15 The preferred glass beads used in my invention are non-toxic chemically and physically, and meet all non-hazardous industrial use requirements including U.S. military specifications.

20 Most particularly, the subject solid glass micro spheres have been found to be compatible with the chemistry of water base and oil base drilling fluids and to cause no undesirable chemical or physical side effects on drilling fluid properties.

25 The usefulness and practicality of my invention is illustrated in the following examples of actual field tests wherein the method of my invention was used to reduce rotating torque and drag during the rotary drilling of oil wells.

30

#### EXAMPLE I

A well drilled in Harris County, Texas, with a projected depth of 9,471 feet deviated at 3,000 feet to an angle of 19½ degrees. 35 The well was corrected back to vertical at 8,356 feet resulting in a borehole with an "S" shaped double curve. The glass beads of my invention were added at 8,800 feet. The drag on pipe being pulled from the hole before adding the beads was 37,000 pounds. 40 After adding 4 pounds of beads per barrel of water base drilling mud, the drag was reduced to 25,000 pounds, a reduction of 32.4% in drag. Rotating torque was also 45 reduced by 6.25%.

The drilling fluid before adding the beads had a viscosity of 52 centipoise and an API fluid loss of 6.2 ml. After adding the beads the viscosity was 52 centipoise and the 50 water loss was 6.0 ml. The addition of the beads, therefore, was essentially without effect upon the viscosity and filtration rate of the drilling fluid.

55

#### EXAMPLE II

A well was drilled offshore Louisiana with an oil base drilling fluid. At 16,143 feet of depth, 4 pounds per barrel of the glass beads of my invention were added to the oil base 60 drilling fluid. The rotating torque before adding the beads was recorded as 560 amperes, after adding the beads a rotating torque of 490 amperes was recorded, a reduction of 12.5%.

65 The oil base fluid before addition of the

glass beads had a viscosity of 61 cp, after addition of the beads the viscosity was 61 cp. Thus in contrast to chemical drilling fluid lubricants, the glass beads of my invention have a demonstrable effectiveness in 70 both water base and oil base drilling fluids.

#### EXAMPLE III

A well projected to 10,000 feet in the North Dryersdale Field in Harris County, Texas deviated at 2,000 feet to an angle of 28 degrees and was corrected back to vertical at 8,643 feet. This reverse curvature caused excessive drag and rotating torque problems. 4 pounds per barrel of 75 the glass beads of my invention were added to the water base drilling fluid at 7,253 feet. After addition of the glass beads the upward drag was reduced from 50,000 pounds to 35,000 pounds, a reduction of 30%. Rotating torque was reduced to 50% to 66%. 80 No significant change in mud properties was noted.

#### EXAMPLE IV

A 9,600 foot well drilled in the Texas Gulf region with a Lignosulfonate-Lignite treated drilling fluid, deviated at 4,000 feet attaining a 17 degree angle and was then corrected back to vertical at 8,122 feet. The drilling fluid was treated with 4 pounds per barrel 85 of the glass beads of my invention at a depth of 8,200 feet. Before addition of the glass beads the indicated torque ranged from 125 to 130 and the upward drag ranged from 15,000 pounds to 20,000 pounds. 90 After addition of the glass beads the indicated torque was reduced to 90 and the upward drag was reduced to a range of 8,000 pounds to 10,000 pounds. The torque and drag reduction thus effected by addition 95 of 4 pounds of the glass beads of my invention per barrel of water base drilling fluid in this instance amounted to 29.5% for torque and 46.5% to 50% for drag.

Drilling fluid viscosity remained unchanged 110 and API filtration rate was 6.4 ml before addition of the beads and 6.0 ml after addition of the glass beads of my invention.

By the preceding examples and additional field usage it has been demonstrated that the 115 Ballontoni glass beads of my invention, which are substantially spherical, chemically resistant lime-silica glass beads having a hardness of 5.5 on the Moh's scale and a particle size range of 88 microns to 44 microns, 120 when used in amounts ranging from 2 pounds to 8 pounds per barrel of water base or oil base drilling fluids, will effectively reduce rotating torque and drag in the rotary drilling of oil and gas wells.

The glass beads used in my invention have been shown to be chemically and physically compatible with oil well drilling fluids causing no deleterious effects on drilling fluid properties. 125

131

5 The compatibility of the glass beads used  
in my invention with oil or water base drill-  
ing fluids means that they may be com-  
pounded as an additive blend, or used in  
10 conjunction with surface active and soft  
solid types of drilling fluid lubricant addi-  
tives. These micro-spherical glass beads  
may also be blended in obvious ways with  
15 other drilling fluid additives, such as thinners  
(dispersing agents), viscosifiers, bentonite,  
fluid loss control additives and lost circulation  
control materials to effect reduction in  
torque and drag.

20 Thus, while certain embodiments of my  
invention have been described for illustrative  
purposes, various other modifications will  
be apparent to those skilled in the art in  
view of the disclosure. Such modifications  
are within the scope of the invention as  
defined by the following claims.

WHAT I CLAIM IS:—

25 1. A method of reducing torque and  
drag in a wellbore having drilling fluid  
therein, including the addition of quantities  
of substantially solid, spherical glass beads  
to the drilling fluid, such glass beads having  
a particle size of 88 to 44 microns and a  
hardness of 5.5 on the Moh's scale.

2. A method of reducing torque and 30  
drag in a wellbore having drilling fluid  
therein, including the addition of quantities  
of solid spherical glass beads without gas  
inclusions in the drilling fluid, such glass  
35 beads having a particle size of 88 to 44  
microns and a hardness of 5.5 on the  
Moh's Scale.

3. A method according to either of  
claims 1 and 2, wherein:

such beads are made of soda-lime glass 40  
and approximately 60 to 80% of the glass  
beads are spherical and no more than 3%  
of the glass beads have sharp or angular  
surfaces.

4. A method according to any one of 45  
claims 1 to 3, wherein:

the beads have a silica content above  
67% and a true density within the range of  
2.45 to 2.55 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

5. A method, according to claim 1 or 50  
2, substantially as described herein.

For the Applicant,  
CHATWIN & COMPANY,  
Chartered Patent Agents,  
253 Gray's Inn Road,  
London, W.C.1.